International Application No. I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) 3 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to note National Classification and IPC IPC (5): GOOF 15/20, 15/24 GOOK //IO 235/375, 472, 462 II. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum Documentation Searched 4 Classification System Classification Symbols 235/375, 383, 385, 462, 472 U.S. Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched 6 III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT 14 Category \* Citation of Document, 16 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 Relevant to Claim No. 18 Y, P US,A, 4,850,009 (ZOOK ET AL) 18 July 1989, see 1-55 entire document A, P US,A, 4,857,716 (GOMBRICH ET AL) 15 August 1989, 1-55 see entire document Y "Norand 1200" 1985, see entire document 1-3,7-12,19-31 34-47 Α US, A, 4,418,277 (TREMMEL ET AL) 29 November 1983 1,2,5,8-16,33-US, A, 4,793,812 (SUSSMAN ET AL) 27 December 1988. 17 see abstract Α US,A, 4,773,032 (UEHARA ET AL) 20 September 1988. 1 - 55see figure 3 US,S, Des 299,234 (KAJITA)03 January 1989, see 1 - 55figure 1 US,S, Des 295,411 (CHO ET AL) 26 April 1988, see 1-55 US,A, 4,842,966 (OMORI ET AL) 27 June 1989, see A, P 1-55 abstract later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the Special categories of cited documents: 13 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another involve an inventive step document of particular relevance; the claimed invention citation or other special reason (as specified) cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docudocument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled document published prior to the international filing date but "&" document member of the same patent family later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 2 Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 12 March 1990 Signature of Authorized Officer 20 International Searching Authority 1

CKENTON R. MULLINS

ISA/US

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET		
А, Р	US,S, Des 303,112 (DESROCHERS) 29 August 1989, see figures 1-4	1-55
Y	US,S, Des 230,859 (KUROSU) 19 March 1974, see figure 1	6, 13
A	US,A, 4,020,527 (O'NEILL)03 May 1977, see figure 3 and 4	1-55 .
Y	US,A, 4,141,492 (MICHEL ET AL) 27 February 1979, see summary	49-55
A	US,A, 4,569,421 (SANDSTEDT) 11 February 1986, see figure 3	1-55
A	US,A, 4,628,193 (BLUM) 09 December 1986	1-55
V. <u>ОВ</u>	SERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE 1	
1. Claim numbers because they relate to subject matter 1 not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  2. Claim numbers because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out 1, specifically:		
Claim numbers, because they are dependent claims not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of PCT Rule 6.4(a).		
VI. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING2		
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application as tollows:		
<ol> <li>As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims of the international application.</li> <li>As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims of the international application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:</li> </ol>		
3. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:		
4. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the International Searching Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  Remark on Protest		
The additional search tees were accompanied by applicant's protest,		
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search tees.		